**CRITICAL REVIEW**

**of a paper submitted to the** **Studia Politica SlovacaJournal**

**Title of the paper**: .................................................................................................................................

**Reviewer’s full name, titles[[1]](#footnote-1):** ....................................................................................................

In the event of a negative answer, we kindly ask the reviewer to formulate his/her recommendations and comments on individual points specifically and briefly in part C[[2]](#footnote-2).

**A. Content: Yes No**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Does the title accurately reflect the content focus of the paper? |  |  |
| 2. Does the abstract present an accurate summary of the paper? |  |  |
| 3. Does the paper conform to the scientific criteria? |  |  |
| 4. Does the author take account of the latest findings in the researched area? |  |  |
| 5. Is the conceptual plurality of the resources used ensured? |  |  |
| 6. Is the paper consistent with the stated objectives? |  |  |
| 7. Is the paper original and does it have the scientific additional value? |  |  |

**B. Form: Yes No**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 8. Are the style and the language of the paper appropriate? |  |  |
| 9. Is the paper well-structured?[[3]](#footnote-3) |  |  |
| 10. Do you propose to edit the text (shorten it or add to it)? |  |  |

**C. Recommendations and comments:**

**1.**

**2.**

**3.**

...

**D. Conclusion:**

|  |
| --- |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

I recommend the paper for publication.

I recommend the paper for publication after the reviewer’s comments have been addressed.

I recommend the paper for publication after major revision.

I do not recommend the paper for publication.

**Place, Date:**  **Reviewer’s Signature:**

1. The reviewer’s name is not shared with the author. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Mark the answer with an x. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. Introduction - brief presentation of the issue, stating the purpose of the paper, listing the sources that the author will draw on; main part - discussion of the issue in accordance with the set goals; conclusion - brief summary of the analysed issue and the author’s conclusions, evaluation of the set goals [↑](#footnote-ref-3)